



Dear Wendy,

Enclosed please find the ACME comments that should be given to Sandia National Laboratories (SNL) for use in your Soil Treatability Study of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL).

As per the Stormwater Expert Panel hired by The Boeing Co. it has been documented that the only True Source Control is Source Removal and should be considered the only option. As the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) moves forward with their Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) they have received comments from Senator Boxer and the Council on Environmental Quality regarding the evaluation of alternatives for the preparation of our Environmental Impact Statement. As a result, NASA has chosen to streamline its review in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) and analyze only the alternatives of (a) cleanup to background and (b) the “no-action” alternative, the United States Department of Energy should follow the same guidelines as the Soil Treatability Study could be seen as a violation of the signed Administrative Orders on Consent.

As per the guidelines of Native Species vs. Non-Native, it is a moot point as we cannot perform any of the SNL suggestions as they have not been proven with the use of Native Plants and until there is science available to confirm this, we cannot move forward.

Thank you in advance for consideration of my comments,

William Preston Bowling  
Founder ACME - Aerospace Contamination Museum of Education  
<http://www.acmela.org>