AEROSPACE CONTAMINATION MUSEUM OF EDUCATION

Learn about the cleanup of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory.

Thomas D. Gallacher The Boeing Co. (Via Electronic Mail) 11/18/2011



Dear Mr. Gallacher,

Attached on page two is an e-mail from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) announcing that the United States Department of Energy (DOE) will not be able to provide additional funding to complete the originally scoped project. The importance of the last phase of the investigation is a Known Area of Concern, the Northern Buffer Zone (NBZ). Your predecessors had to purchase this land from the Brandeis-Bardin Institute (BBI) currently owned by the American Jewish University, due to findings of Plutonium-238 (0.19-0.22 pCi/g dry), Strontium-90 (0.08-0.09pCi/g dry), Cesium-137 (0.24-0.30 pCi/g dry), Tritium (<100-10,800 pCi/L) and Mercury (0.35 mg/kg). The fact that this area will not get the attention to detail as the rest of the over \$40,000,000 of President Obama Stimulus Monies is more than unethical and a potential threat to the health and safety of the BBI and other Simi Valley Residents. On the same day of the EPA announcement, The Boeing Co. announced it's biggest contract ever, a \$21.7 Billion dollar contract.

http://www.reuters.com/article/2011/11/17/us-boeing-idUSTRE7AG1WU20111117?feedType=RSS&feedName=topNews&rpc=71

Since The Boeing Co. owns the NBZ the right thing should be done and help EPA with the monies needed.

Thank you in advance for taking this seriously.

William Preston Bowling ACME (Aerospace Contamination Museum of Education) P.O. Box 1636, Topanga Canyon, California 90290 <u>http://www.acmela.org/</u> Dear Stakeholders:

EPA has just received official notice that DOE will not be able to provide the additional funding we requested for the SSFL Project. When we originally scoped this project, we did so with little information and planned for an exhaustive characterization. As the project progressed and we began to get real data, we realized opportunities for cost savings. Additionally, we had unanticipated increases in scope, and increases in costs. As a result, we requested additional funding from DOE.

With most Federal Agencies anticipating resource reductions (EPA included); we will need to complete the objectives with the funding that is available to us. In order for us to proceed, we will be preparing a "Project Completion Plan" which will outline the remaining activities, budget, and the schedule that will need to be implemented in order for us to complete all work by the end of 2012. As part of this process, EPA will be asking stakeholders for their input on key decisions that we will be making regarding implementation of our field work at SSFL. Some of these decisions will include discussions centered around the following topics:

-Gamma Scanning and Mowing in the NBZ (What is accessible? What is the best use of this funding?)

-How should remaining samples be allocated based on results of Phase 1 Sampling?

-Are there any efficiencies that can be applied to Sample Analysis which could result in savings/or more samples?

-How can Chemical and Radioactive results be mapped together to better delineate remediation areas prior to Phase 2?

-How can DOE, DTSC and EPA better coordinate our characterization efforts to share resources and reduce costs?

Mary T. Aycock Superfund Remedial Project Manager US Environmental Protection Agency 75 Hawthorne Street (SFD-8-2) San Francisco, CA 94105 Phone: (415) 972-3289 SSFL: (818) 466-8076